



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,820	09/07/2006	William K. Hagmann	21643YP	4661
210	7590	06/09/2008	EXAMINER	
MERCK AND CO., INC P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			BRADLEY, CHRISTINA	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1654		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		06/09/2008		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,820	HAGMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christina Marchetti Bradley	1654	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 September 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to compounds of formula I, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
 - II. Claims 10-12, drawn to the use of compounds of formula I to manufacture a medicament for the treatment of diseases selected from asthma, multiple sclerosis, inflammatory bowel disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, sickle cell anemia, leukemia, and rheumatoid arthritis, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case diseases selected from asthma, multiple sclerosis, inflammatory bowel disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, sickle cell anemia, leukemia, and rheumatoid arthritis can be treated with materially different products. For example, leukemia can be treated with chemotherapy such as Gleevac, radiation or bone marrow transplant. Note that claims 10-12 which are “use claims” are being interpreted as methods of treating. The claims should be amended to either products or methods claims.

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a

serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

- (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.**

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Claims 1-12 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

N-{N-[(3-Cyanobenzene) sulfonyl]-4(R)-cyclobutylamino-(L)-prolyl }-4-[(3',5'-dichloroisonicotinoyl)- amino]-(L)-phenylalanine and ethyl ester thereof;

N-{ N-[(3-Cyanobenzene)sulfonyl]-3(S)-tert-butylamino-(L)-prolyl }-4-[(3 ~ ~5 ~ dichloroisoicinoyl)- amino]-(L)-phenylalanine and ethyl, pivaloyloxymethyl, and 1-(ethoxycarbonyloxy)ethyl esters thereof;

N-{N-[(3-Cyanobenzene)sulfonyl]-4(R)-azetidinyl-(L)-prolyl }-4-[(3' ,5'-dichloroisonicotinoyl)amino]- (L)-phenylalanine, ethyl ester;

(4R)-1 -[(3-cyanophenyl) sulfonyl]-4-(piperidin-1-yl)-L-prolyl-4-[(3,5-dichloroisonicotinoyl)amino]-L- phenylalanine and ethyl ester thereof;

(4R)-1-[(3-cyanophenyl)sulfonyl]-4-(2-methylpiperidin-1-yl)-L-prolyl-4-[(3,5-dichloroisonicotinoyl)- amino]-L-phenylalanine and ethyl ester thereof;

(4R)-1-[(3-cyan~pheny~) sulfonyl]-4-(3-methy~piperidin-1-yl)-L- prolyl-4-[(3~5-dichloroisonicotinoyl)- amino]-L-phenylalanine and ethyl ester thereof;

Art Unit: 1654

(4R)-1-[(3-cyan~pheny~) sulfonyl]-4-(4-methy~piperidin-1-yl)-L-prolyl-4-[(3~5-dichloroisonicotinoyl)- amino]-L-phenylalanine and ethyl ester thereof;

(4R)-1-[(3-cyanophenyl)sulfonyl]-4-(3,5-dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-L-prolyl-4-[(3,5-dichloroisonicotinoyl)- amino]-L-phenylalanine and ethyl ester thereof;

(4R)-1-[(3-cyanophenyl)sulfonyl] -4-(3,3-dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-L-prolyl-4-[(3,5-dichloroisonicotinoyl)- amino]-L-phenylalanine and ethyl ester thereof;

(4R)- 1-[(3-cyanophenyl)sulfonyl] -4-(4,4-dimethylpiperidin-1-yl)-L-prolyl-4-[(3,5-dichloroisonicotinoyl)- amino]-L-phenylalanine and ethyl ester thereof;

(4R)- 1-[(3-cyanophenyl) sulfonyl]-4-(octahydroquinolin- 1 (2H)-yl)-L-prolyl-4-[(3,5-dichloroisonicotinoyl)amino]-L-phenylalanine and ethyl ester thereof;

(4R)- 1-[(3-cyanophenyl) sulfonyl] -4-(octahydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)-L-prolyl-4-[(3,5-dichloroisonicotinoyl)amino]-L-phenylalanine and ethyl ester thereof; and

(4R)-4-(2-azabicyclo [2.2.2] oct-2-yl)- 1-[(3-cyanophenyl)sulfonyl]-L-prolyl-4-[(3,5-dichloroisonicotinoyl)amino]-L-phenylalanine and methyl ester thereof;

The species are independent or distinct because as disclosed the different species have mutually exclusive characteristics for each identified species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g.,

searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of diseases: asthma, multiple sclerosis, inflammatory bowel disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, sickle cell anemia, leukemia, and rheumatoid arthritis. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 10-12 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

6. **Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including**

any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1654

8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Marchetti Bradley whose telephone number is (571)272-9044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, 9:00 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

Art Unit: 1654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christina Marchetti Bradley/
Examiner, Art Unit 1654

cmb

/Cecilia Tsang/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1654